

UNITED STATES DE ATMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE APPLICATION NUMBER 95-33 EALKBARTNER 03/12/97 03,7015,773 EXAMINER 18,07,17,20

GARY R FUELER SANT TOTAL OF THE SYSTEM OF THE STATE OF THE SYSTEM OF THE SYSTEM OF THE STATE OF T

LAZAR - WESLEY, E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1817

DATE MAILED:

10/22/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMA	ARY
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.C.	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed in G. 213.
nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days, espond within the period for response will cause may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR
position of Claims	
Claim(s) 1-39	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
1 Claims 1-39	are subject to restriction or election requirement
plication Papers	
 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO 	-948.
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved 🗎 disapproved
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
fority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority d	
received.	and the second s
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bure	
*Certified copies not received:	· .
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e).
ttachment(s)	*
☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	BEST AVAILABLE
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	BEST AVAILABLE
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial Number: 08/815,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 1812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-32, drawn to polynucleotides, expression vectors, transformed host cells and isolated polypeptides, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - II. Claims 33-38, drawn to method of detecting a ligand, classified in class 436, subclass501.
 - III. Claim 39, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptides of Invention I can be used in a different process, like for example to produce antibodies.

The polynucleotides of Invention I and the method of detecting a ligand of Invention III are independent and distinct, wherein the polynucleotides cannot be used nor made by the method of Invention III.

Serial Number: 08/815,773 Page 3

Art Unit: 1812

Inventions I and III are related to different products. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of inventions" in MPEP806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products appear to constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons:

Groups I and III are directed to products that are distinct both physically and functionnally, and are therefore patentably distinct. The proteins of invention I are related to the antibodies of invention III by virtue of being cognate antigens, which may be used for the production of the antibodies. Although the protein and antibody are related due to the necessary stearic complementarity of the two, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionnally distinct chemical entities, and because the proteins can be used in other and materially different processes from the use for production of the antibody, such as in pharmaceutical compositions, or in a diagnostic assay.

Inventions II and III are related but distinct, as the antibody of Invention III is not defined by means of detecting of Invention II, and as other distinct compounds could be detected.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and because the searches required for each of the groups are different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Gary Parker on 10/6/97 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Serial Number: 08/815,773 Page 4

Art Unit: 1812

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eliane Lazar-Wesley, PhD, whose telephone number is (703) 305 4059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Walsh, can be reached on (703) 308-2957.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308 4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [stephen.walsh@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

ELW October 15, 1997

> LORRAINE M. SPECTOR PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800